LNPA WORKING GROUP

November 6-7, 2012 Meeting

Final Minutes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Overland Park, Kansas | Host: Sprint Nextel |

##### FULL LNPA WORKING GROUP DISCUSSION:

**TUESDAY 11/06/12**

Tuesday, 11/06/12, Attendance:

| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ron Steen | AT&T | Jim Rooks | | Neustar |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T (phone) | Paul LaGattuta | | Neustar (phone) |
| Mark Lancaster | AT&T | Stephen Addicks | | Neustar |
| Lonnie Keck | AT&T Mobility | John Nakamura | | Neustar |
| Renee Dillon | AT&T Mobility | Marcel Champagne | | Neustar |
| Matt Nolan | Bright House | Dave Garner | | Neustar |
| Cristy Permenter | Bright House (phone) | Mubeen Saifullah | | Neustar Clearinghouse |
| Marian Hearn | Canadian LNP Consortium | Shannon Sevigny | | Neustar Pooling (phone) |
| Jan Doell | CenturyLink | Suzanne Addington | | Sprint Nextel |
| Tim Kagele | Comcast (phone) | Chad Younger | | Sprint Nextel |
| Beth O’Donnell | Cox (phone) | Ann Fenaroli | | Sprint Nextel |
| Dena Hunter | Cricket (phone) | Kirk Mann | | Sprint Nextel |
| Linda Peterman | Earthlink Business | Nancy Conant | | Synchronoss |
| Jeff Sonnier | Ericsson | Rosalee Pinnock | | Syniverse (phone) |
| John Malyar | Ericsson/Telcordia | Paula Jordan | | T-Mobile |
| George Tsacnaris | Ericsson/Telcordia | Glenn Andrews | | TNS |
| Pat White | Ericsson/Telcordia (phone) | Kayla Sharbaugh | | TNS (phone) |
| Steven Koch | Ericsson/Telcordia (phone) | Tanya Golub | | US Cellular |
| Crystal Hanus | GVNW (phone) | Gary Sacra | | Verizon |
| Kim Isaacs | Integra (phone) | Jason Lee | | Verizon (phone) |
| Bridget Alexander | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | Deb Tucker | | Verizon Wireless |
| Eric Monkelien | Level 3 (phone) | Imanu Hill | | Vonage (phone) |
| Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber (phone) | Traci Brunner | | Windstream |
| Ed Barker | Neustar (phone) | Dawn Lawrence | | XO Communications (phone) |
| Lavinia Rotaru | Neustar |  | |  |
|  |  |  | |  |

NOTE: ALL ACTION ITEMS REFERENCED IN THE MINUTES BELOW HAVE BEEN CAPTURED IN THE “NOVEMBER 6-7 2012 FULL LNPA WG ACTION ITEMS” FILE ISSUED IN A SEPARATE E-MAIL FROM THESE MINUTES AND ATTACHED BELOW.

****

**MEETING MINUTES:**

**2012 LNPA WG Meeting/Call Schedule:**

Following is the current schedule for the 2012 LNPA WG meetings and calls.

| **MONTH**  **(2012)** | NANC MEETING DATES | LNPA WG  **MEETING/CALL**  **DATES** | HOST COMPANY | MEETING LOCATION |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | 10th-11th | Telcordia | Scottsdale, Arizona |
| February |  | No meeting or call. |  |  |
| March |  | 13th-14th | Comcast | Denver, Colorado |
| April |  | No meeting or call. |  |  |
| May |  | 8th-9th | Neustar | Key West, Florida |
| June |  | No meeting.  6/12/2012 APT call from 11am to 1pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272#. |  |  |
| July |  | 10th-11th | Canadian LNP Consortium | Mont Tremblant Quebec, Canada |
| August |  | No meeting.  8/7/2012 APT call from 11am to 1pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272#. |  |  |
| September |  | 11th-12th | CenturyLink & Tekelec | Denver, Colorado |
| October |  | No meeting.  10/9/2012 APT call from 11am to 1pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272#. |  |  |
| November |  | 6th-7th | Sprint Nextel | Overland Park, Kansas |
| December |  | No meeting or call. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Following is the current schedule for the 2013 LNPA WG meetings and calls.

| **MONTH**  **(2013)** | NANC MEETING DATES | LNPA WG  **MEETING/CALL**  **DATES** | HOST COMPANY | MEETING LOCATION |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | 8th-9th | Ericsson/  Telcordia | Scottsdale, Arizona |
| February |  | No meeting.  02/05/2013 call if necessary |  |  |
| March |  | 5th-6th | DSET | Atlanta, Georgia |
| April |  | No meeting.  04/09/2013 call if necessary |  |  |
| May |  | 7th-8th | Neustar | **TBD** |
| June |  | No meeting.  06/04/2013 call if necessary |  |  |
| July |  | 9th-10th | T-Mobile | Seattle, Washington |
| August |  | No meeting.  08/06/2013 call if necessary |  |  |
| September |  | 10th-11th | Comcast | Denver, Colorado |
| October |  | No meeting.  10/08/2013 call if necessary |  |  |
| November |  | 5th-6th | AT&T | San Antonio, Texas |
| December |  | No meeting.  12/03/2013 call if necessary |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

* Continuing evaluation during 2013 will determine if interim conference calls are needed or if the decision to meet face-to-face every other month should be revisited.

September 11-12, 2012 Full LNPA WG Meeting Minutes Review:

* No changes were made to the DRAFT September 11-12, 2012 Full LNPA WG meeting minutes, and they were approved as FINAL.

OBF Ordering Solutions Wireless Service Ordering (WSO) Subcommittee Update (Deb Tucker, Verizon Wireless):

* The Wireless Service Ordering Subcommittee met November 1, 2012. No new issues were introduced.
* **Issue 3441** – WICIS: ICP Validation Change for SUP 1. This issue was formally withdrawn.
* **Issue 3442** - WICIS: 90 Day Due Date. This issue addresses the specific situations where a wireline provider sends a port request to a wireless provider with a desired due date greater than 90 days. WICIS has a 90 day restriction on desired due date intervals where the LSR has no restriction. This Issue is in Final Closure and the Subcommittee will begin to address changes to the WICIS documents during the next meeting.
* **Issue 3429** – WICIS Review for Alignment and Business Practices. This is a blanket issue opened to review the WICIS document for any needed updates and it remains open.
* The next OBF Ordering Solutions Wireless Service Ordering Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for January 3, 2013.

OBF Ordering Solutions Local Service Ordering (LSO) Subcommittee Update (Linda Peterman, EarthLink Business):

* Since LNPA WG’s September 2012 meeting, the Local Service Ordering Subcommittee (LSO) has held one virtual meeting and had to reschedule a virtual meeting (Oct 30) because of availability due to Hurricane Sandy’s aftermath. Work continued on issue 3381 regarding Directory Listings during the October 16 virtual meeting. Issue 3450 was to be discussed during the October 30 meeting and will be the primary topic when the meeting is rescheduled.

Issues in Final Closure: None.

Issues Withdrawn: None

Issues in Initial Closure or Initial Pending: None

Open Issues:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [3450](http://www.atis.org/obf/_Com/Docs/Issues/3450.doc) | **LSOG: Standard Validation and Submission fields for REQTYPE “C” Simple and Non-Simple Port Orders – Participants to socialize suggested path forward for non-simple port validations for potential internal impacts.** | | |
| [3449](http://www.atis.org/obf/_Com/Docs/Issues/3449.doc) | **LSOG – Allow for multiple Pilot Numbers on Hunt Group (HGI) form – Deferred while different options for vendor solutions are reviewed. Will be withdrawn if vendor solution is reached.** | | |
| 3448 | **LSOG – Add new Line Activity (LNA) value to require disposition of each Telephone Number when converting – Deferred while being reviewed internally by issue champion.** | | |
| [3443](http://www.atis.org/obf/_Com/Docs/Issues/3443.doc) | | **LSOG: Increase the Name fields’ length in the 71 and 72 practices –** **Deferred until issues 3381 and 3382 are completed & to be worked in conjunction with issue 3450.** |
| [3382](http://www.atis.org/obf/_com/docs/issues/3382.doc) | | **LSOG: Standardization and consolidation of Directory Listings Inquiry/Response and Listing Reconciliation (from LSOG6) all into the 111 Practice – Following 3381** |
| [3381](http://www.atis.org/obf/_com/docs/issues/3381.doc) | | **LSOG: Standardization of directory listings in the 102 Practice – Work in progress** |
| [33](http://www.atis.org/obf/_com/docs/issues/3369.doc)73 | | **LSOG: Standardization of RT of “Z” in the 099 practice for REQTYP “C” to be utilized by all providers - Deferred until issues 3381 and 3382 are completed**. |

.

New Issues:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| NEW | **LSOG: Cleanup of the LTEXT, OMTN and RTY fields in the oii, 102, 111 and 122 practices**. |

The LSO has the following meetings scheduled:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DATE** | **CALL DETAILS** |
| 10/30/12  Rescheduling | **LSO Virtual Meeting**  **12-2 ET**  **Agenda**:   * Discuss additional participant feedback regarding suggested approach for progressing work on Issue 3450 |
| 1/28 – 1/31/13 | **LSO Face-to-Face Meeting**  **Location: TBD**  **Host: AT&T**  **Agenda**:   * Issues 3381 (if needed) and 3382. * Begin in-depth discussions on Issue 3450. |

Industry Numbering Committee (INC) Update (Dave Garner, Neustar):

**INC Issue 728: Determine if the PA should deny returns on blocks that contain LRNs**

* Issue: INC needs to determine if the PA should deny returns on blocks that contain LRNs based on the data provided in the NPAC report like NANPA currently does on code returns when it is identified via the NPAC report an LRN exists in the code.

Current requirement in section 2.19 of the TBPAG states:

Prior to donating or returning a thousands-block that contains an LRN to the pool, the SP shall migrate any ported numbers or pooled blocks utilizing the LRN to another LRN within a block retained by or assigned to the SP, and delete the LRN in the NPAC and the Telcordia™ LERG™ Routing Guide.

During the discussion of this issue, some SPs explained that when they receive a block which contains an LRN, it causes their network to have problems completing calls as their system tries to complete the call internally instead of routing the call to another SP, so it is important not to allow a block to be returned that contains an LRN as it causes call failures.

At the October meeting, INC decided not to make any changes to the Guidelines because the occurrence of block holders returning blocks containing LRNs (whether a block holder’s or another service provider’s) appears to be infrequent or not customer-impacting for most service providers. Further, if block holders are following the Guidelines, which instruct them to disconnect any LRNs before returning a block, then these potential problems are avoided. Based on this decision the issue was placed into Initial Closure.

**INC Issue 744: Review SP Contact Data Availability for Numbering Resources**

Issue Statement: Various types of SP contact information for different types of numbering resources are available to the public and other SPs in multiple formats. For example, a name and telephone number for a contact at a particular SP is available publicly on the NANPA website for 555 numbers, 5YY-NXXs, 9YY-NXXs and CICs, but not for geographic CO codes. Having such data available in varying formats, permissions and locations may be difficult for service providers and numbering administrators to maintain and keep current, and having information publicly available may lead to it being used for unintended purposes. INC should review the requirements in its guidelines for service providers and the numbering administrators to maintain SP contact information and review where such information is made available to determine if or where information sharing can be streamlined or eliminated.

At the October INC meeting, contribution NARP 028: Review of Guidelines and requirements to post information on [www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com) was reviewed. This contribution contained recommendations regarding changes to the Guidelines posted on the NANPA website. It was agreed to remove Contract name and phone number from the information posted for the 5YY NXX Code Assignment Guidelines and the 9YY NXX Code Assignment Guidelines. No other changes to the posted information on the NANPA or PA websites were agreed too at this time. INC participants have an action item to determine if they want to make any additional changes before the issue is closed.

**INC Issue 743: Clarify Timeframes in COCAG and TBPAG for BIRRDS and NPAC Entries for Code Holders**

Issue Statement: INC is working Issue 719: Available “Red” Blocks where PSTN Activation has not been confirmed, and has reached some agreements that should be incorporated into guidelines as soon as possible, without waiting for Issue 719 to be resolved, since Issue 719 may ultimately require PA or NANPA Change Orders. Specifically, INC should incorporate language into the COCAG and TBPAG to reinforce the required timeframes for BIRRDS entries for code holders, and document a required timeframe for NPAC entries for code holders.

At the October INC meeting, the Issue was reviewed and it was verified that the proposed edits to the COCAG and TBPAG were agreed to in Issue 719.

The new language to be added to the COCAG as section 6.2.4 is:

“ A code applicant or holder assigned a pooled code through the PA for pool replenishment or LRN purposes shall load the NPA-NXX into the NPAC database within 10 calendar days of the code being assigned by the NANPA. “

In the TBPAG, the same 10 calendar day timeframe will be added to section 4.2.1.

It was agreed that these changes should be incorporated in the guidelines as soon as possible. Given this agreement, the issue was put into Initial Closure and the guidelines will be updated.

NANC Future of Numbering Working Group Update (Adam Newman, Telcordia and FoN Tri-Chair):

* No report was available.

Continue 2nd Pass Review of LNPA WG Best Practices Document – All:

(Completed through BP 42 at September 2012 meeting)



* The group continued with the 2nd pass review of the attached LNPA WG Best Practices document.

**Action Item 031511-04:** Paula Jordan, T-Mobile and LNPA WG Co-Chair, and Jason Lee, Verizon, and Teresa Patton, AT&T, and Tracey Guidotti, AT&T, will document in LNPA WG Best Practice 30 requirements for ICP during the permissive dialing period for NPA splits. This will be reviewed and discussed at the May 2011 LNPA WG meeting.

* Action Item 031511-04 remains open.

**Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-01:** Neustar will reword Best Practice 34 for review at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting.



* There were no objections to the proposed revised wording of BP 34 and it was accepted.
* Regarding the attached revised Best Practice 34, accepted at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting, Neustar will revise BP 34 accordingly on the LNPA WG website.
* Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-01 is closed.

**Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-05:** Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will revise the attached LNPA NP Best Practices document as follows:

1. Remove the embedded NANC LNP Provisioning Flows document from BP 9 and insert the LNPA WG website link to the NANC flows.
2. Update the link contained in BP 17.
3. Remove the embedded NANC LNP Provisioning Flows document from BP 31 and insert the LNPA WG website link to the NANC flows.
4. Correct the last bullet in BP 42.
5. Verify all links in the BP document.

* Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-05 is completed and was closed.

**Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-06:** Service Providers are to determine if we will retain or delete Best Practice 35. This will be discussed at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting.

* There were no objections to deleting BP 35.
* Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will revise the Word version of the LNP Best Practices to reflect the agreements reached at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting.

**NOTE: This Action Item has been completed. Please see the Word document attached below.**



* Using the Best Practices document attached directly above that has been updated to reflect agreements reached at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting as a guide, Neustar will update the BPs on the LNPA WG website and make them consistent with this guide.

Next Steps for Best Practices Review and Update – All:

* LNPA WG Participants will now begin to review the revised BPs internally and set a date for a future LNPA WG meeting to discuss any additional suggested changes to the document. Once that next step is completed, the Service Providers of the LNPA WG will attempt to reach consensus on the final Best Practices document and determine next steps. The LNPA WG will continue to keep the NANC informed on progress.

##### FULL LNPA WORKING GROUP DISCUSSION:

**WEDNESDAY 11/07/12**

Wednesday, 11/07/12, Attendance:

| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ron Steen | AT&T | Ed Barker | | Neustar (phone) |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T (phone) | Lavinia Rotaru | | Neustar |
| Mark Lancaster | AT&T | Paul LaGattuta | | Neustar (phone) |
| Tracey Guidotti | AT&T (phone) | Stephen Addicks | | Neustar |
| Lonnie Keck | AT&T Mobility | John Nakamura | | Neustar |
| Renee Dillon | AT&T Mobility | Marcel Champagne | | Neustar |
| Matt Nolan | Bright House | Mubeen Saifullah | | Neustar Clearinghouse |
| Marian Hearn | Canadian LNP Consortium | Shannon Sevigny | | Neustar Pooling (phone) |
| Jan Doell | CenturyLink | Suzanne Addington | | Sprint Nextel |
| Vicki Goth | CenturyLink | Chad Younger | | Sprint Nextel |
| Carolyn Brown | CenturyLink | Ann Fenaroli | | Sprint Nextel |
| Tim Kagele | Comcast (phone) | Kirk Mann | | Sprint Nextel |
| Brenda Bloemke | Comcast (phone) | Scott Freiermuth | | Sprint Nextel |
| Dena Hunter | Cricket (phone) | Nancy Conant | | Synchronoss |
| Linda Peterman | Earthlink Business | Rosalee Pinnock | | Syniverse (phone) |
| Jeff Sonnier | Ericsson | Paula Jordan | | T-Mobile |
| George Tsacnaris | Ericsson/Telcordia | Glenn Andrews | | TNS |
| Pat White | Ericsson/Telcordia (phone) | Tanya Golub | | US Cellular |
| Steven Koch | Ericsson/Telcordia (phone) | Gary Sacra | | Verizon |
| Crystal Hanus | GVNW (phone) | Jason Lee | | Verizon (phone) |
| Kim Isaacs | Integra (phone) | Deb Tucker | | Verizon Wireless |
| Bridget Alexander | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | Imanu Hill | | Vonage (phone) |
| Karen Hoffman | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | Traci Brunner | | Windstream |
| Eric Monkelien | Level 3 (phone) | Dawn Lawrence | | XO Communications (phone) |
| Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber (phone) |  | |  |
|  |  |  | |  |

**MEETING MINUTES:**

PIM Discussion:

* PIM 80 – This PIM submitted by Verizon, seeks to address instances where ported/pooled NPAC database records currently contain LRNs that are in a LATA different from their associated ported/pooled telephone numbers (TNs).



The LNPA WG’s recommendation to the NAPM LLC to request a Statement of Work (SOW) from Neustar for PIM 80 was sent to the NAPM LLC. It was decided to perform the work without an SOW. PIM 80 will remain in a tracking state.

November 2012 status: No change since September 2012 status. 25 SVs remain. 2 pooled blocks remain.

* New PIM 81 – This PIM, submitted by CenturyLink and Windstream, seeks to address instances where a Service Provider unilaterally accelerates the activation of a pending port without agreement from the Old SP in the port.



November 2012 discussion:

* + Jan Doell, CenturyLink, presented the PIM, stating that Windstream is a co-sponsor. The PIM seeks to address the practice of a Service Provider that is pulling up the due date on ports after receiving the FOC and Old SP concurrence in NPAC. In some cases, the port is being activated within minutes of when the LSR was submitted.
  + A Service Provider cautioned that the key word is “unilateral” in describing this PIM issue and this PIM is not meant to prevent cases where there is a mutual agreement between two providers to advance the due date and activate the port under very controlled circumstances.
  + Verizon and Integra stated that they are having this issue as well and that call completion issues and double billing can occur when this is done.
  + A wireless provider stated that the PIM needs to state it does not apply to wireless-to-wireless ports.
  + Jan stated that CenturyLink would consider withdrawing the PIM if the LNPA WG would reach consensus that this practice violates the NANC flows and take it to NANC.
  + When the due date is modified, the OLD SP gets a SOA notification.
  + JSI stated that LTI users do not automatically get the notification like mechanized SOA users do.
  + A provider stated that this is a compliance issue and energy should be placed there.
  + It was suggested that a sub-team to address the PIM be formed and come to the January 2013 meeting with suggested resolutions. It was agreed that the sub-team would be formed. Jan Doell, CenturyLink, agreed to lead the sub-team. Deb Tucker (Verizon), Tim Kagele (Comcast), Traci Brunner (Windstream), Bridget Alexander (JSI), Linda Peterman (Earthlink), Suzanne Addington (Sprint Nextel), Lonnie Keck (AT&T Mobility), and Teresa Patton (AT&T) volunteered to participate on the sub-team. It was agreed that there would be one vote per company on the sub-team.
  + A provider stated that this practice is treating an inter-modal port as a wireless-to-wireless port.
  + There were no objections to accepting the PIM. It was designated PIM 81.
  + CenturyLink asked the provider that implemented this practice to immediately cease. That provider agreed to take CenturyLink’s request back to be considered.
* New PIM 82 – This PIM, submitted by CenturyLink, seeks to address instances where a New Service Provider in a port fails to react to a Jeopardy notification from the Old SP.



* + Jan Doell, CenturyLink, presented the PIM, stating that due to the automated processing of large quantities of ports, there are occasions when an Old SP in a port may have auto-issued a FOC and then their downstream systems may discover a legitimate reason the port should stop, and issues a JEP subsequent to the FOC. In some instances, the New SP in the port ignores these JEP messages.
  + Wireless providers can receive JEPs but they cannot send them.
  + CenturyLink stated that the JEP is usually sent within 4 hours of sending the FOC.
  + CenturyLink would like input from the ATIS teams.
  + A provider stated that we have BPs that state we should react to JEPs and Rejects and to do as much processing before a JEP or Reject is sent. That provider also said that timing issues could sometimes prevent the port from being stopped.
  + Another provider stated that they do not see how a new PIM will resolve this JEP issue.
  + It was suggested that this issue be taken to ATIS. It was asked to do what since the process is already defined.
  + CenturyLink suggested that the Old SP upon sending a JEP or Reject after the FOC could place it in Cause Code 51.
  + A provider stated that they receive JEPs that are not really jeopardy situations, e.g., accounts in suspend status.
  + In response to a question on frequency, CenturyLink stated that this is a fairly rare issue.
  + Consensus was to accept this PIM. It was designated PIM 82.
  + Supporters of this PIM are asked to bring in frequency volume numbers for discussion at the January 2013 meeting.

Change Management – Neustar:



* The group reviewed the Change Orders that were moved to Cancel Pending at the September 2012 meeting. It was agreed that they will be removed from the next iteration of the list. There were no objections.
* There are no updates to NANC 449 at this time.

**Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-02:** Regarding NANC Change Order 403, Neustar will determine if any Service Providers are sending recovery requests in an active period (outside of the recovery period after they have indicated to NPAC that they have completed recovery), and contact them to find out why. This will be discussed at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting.

* Neustar looked at logs for October and found only one instance in one Region. That SP stated that they found the recovery was running more slowly than needed. Their LSMS came out of recovery and made a specific recovery request out of recovery for a shorter time interval to recover the data. The data needed was less than what they were receiving before they came out of recovery.
* Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-02 will stay open for further investigation.
* NANC 403 will stay open until the January 2013 meeting for further investigation.

**Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-03:** Regarding NANC Change Order 415, Neustar will determine and advise if it is still needed. This will be discussed at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting.

* There were no objections to moving NANC 415 to Cancel Pending.
* Action Item 091112-LNPAWG was closed.

**Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-07:** Regarding NANC Change Order 417, Syniverse will determine and advise if it is still needed. This will be discussed at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting.

* Syniverse responded that NANC 417 should stay. There were no objections to leaving it on the Change Order list
* Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-07 was closed.

Review of 2012 LNPA WG Meeting/Call Schedule – All:

****

* It was agreed that there will be no FULL LNPA WG call in December 2012.

Develop 2013 Meeting Schedule – All:

****

* The July 2013 meeting will be hosted by T-Mobile in Seattle, Washington.
* The September 2013 meeting is firm. Comcast will host in Denver, Colorado.
* The November 2013 meeting will be hosted by AT&T in San Antonio, Texas.

Processes for Addressing Regulatory Non-compliances and Checklist for Future FCC Mandates – Linda Peterman, LNPA WG Co-Chair:

** ** ****

**Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-04:** Linda Peterman, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will revise the attached DRAFT FCC Mandate Checklist & Timeline document as follows for review at the November 2012 LNPA WG meeting:

1. Swap the sequence of Steps 12 and 13.
2. Add a step for determining the need for immediate LNPA WG engagement and scheduling of meetings. The LNPA WG Co-Chairs will have ownership of that step.

* Jan Doell, CenturyLink, led the discussion of the Sub-team Compliance document.
* It was agreed to remove “Draft” from the FCC Mandate Checklist & Timeline and Sub-team Compliance documents and have them put on the LNPA WG website.
* Action Item 091112-LNPAWG-04 was closed and included in a new Action Item (see below).
* Linda Peterman, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will revise the attached DRAFT FCC Mandate Checklist & Timeline document and FCC Mandate Compliance document as follows:

1. Swap the sequence of Steps 12 and 13 in the FCC Mandate Checklist & Timeline document.
2. Add a step in the FCC Mandate Checklist & Timeline document for determining the need for immediate LNPA WG engagement and scheduling of meetings. The LNPA WG Co-Chairs will have ownership of that step.
3. Remove “Draft” from both documents.
4. After these documents are cleaned up, have them placed on the LNPA WG’s website in the section for FCC Orders.

Develop Report for December 13th NANC Meeting – All:

* The group agreed that the following items would be included in the December 13th NANC report:
  + Status of update of LNPA WG’s LNP Best Practices
  + Continued development of new NPAC interfaces
  + LNPA WG Co-Chair election to take place at January 2013 meeting

Discussion of Need for December 11, 2012 LNPA WG Call – All:

* It was agreed that there will be no FULL LNPA WG call in December 2012.

Unfinished/New Business – All:

* No unfinished or new business items were raised.

Action Items Not Previously Discussed in Agenda – All:

****

Review of September 11-12, 2012 FULL LNPA WG Action Items:

**September 11-12, 2012 FULL LNPA WG Action Items:**

* Item 091112-LNPAWG-01: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 091112-LNPAWG-02: This item remains Open.
* Item 091112-LNPAWG-03: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 091112-LNPAWG-04: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 091112-LNPAWG-05: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 091112-LNPAWG-06: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 091112-LNPAWG-07: This item has been completed and is Closed.

**FULL LNPA WG Action Items Remaining Open from Previous Meetings:**

* Item 031511-04: This item remains Open.

***Next Meeting … January 8-9, 2013… Location…*** ***Scottsdale, Arizona …***

***Hosted by Ericsson/Telcordia***